

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3650 of 2016
Decided on : 24.02.2016**

Abhinandan Rai Dhiman

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG**

PRESENT: Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is to the impugned notices (Annexures P-3 & P-4, respectively) vide which the petitioner has been charged VAT @ 5.5% along with 10% surcharge on the sale of goods, which is sought to be contrary to the policy (Annexure P-1) & notification (Annexure P-2).

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation before the concerned authorities.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**

February 24, 2016