

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4455 of 2015

Date of Decision: 30.08.2016

Smt. Rambati

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Chhiber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Rameshwar Singh Malik, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Notice of motion was issued and in compliance thereof, written statements were filed.

Learned counsel for Municipal Council, Maur-respondent No.3, refers to the averments taken in preliminary submissions at page 20 of the paper-book, to contend that different amounts under different heads have already been released in favour of the petitioner, rendering the present writ petition as infructuous.

Faced with the above-said fact situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the payments due to the petitioner have not been paid. He submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to raise her pending claim before respondent-Municipal Council, Maur, by moving an appropriate representation and the competent authority of the respondent-Municipal Council, may be directed to consider and decide her representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the above-said respective statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Executive Officer of Municipal Council, Maur-respondent No.3 by moving an appropriate representation, within a period of one month from today. After receiving the appropriate representation from the petitioner, the Executive Officer of Municipal Council, Maur-respondent No.3 shall consider the grievances raised by the petitioner in her representation and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioner.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

30.08.2016

sachin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No