

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3481 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.2.2016

Suraj Construction Company

.. Petitioner

v.

**Haryana State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Federation Ltd. &
others**

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that it had submitted tenders for four works, as invited by respondent No. 1. Earnest money of 8,76,000/- was deposited. Though the petitioner was at No. 1, neither the tenders were finalised nor the security deposited by the petitioner has been refunded despite repeated representations.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, however, without opining on the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to take a final decision on the claim made by the petitioner in the representation dated 3.12.2015 (Annexure P-7). The needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

23.2.2016
mk