

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.3466 of 2016

Date of decision: 7.4.2016

Paramjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

No one appears for the petitioner to press the petition. I find no ground to adjourn the case even in the interest of justice after noticing the prayers made in the petition. They are verbatim : -

- “i. said for the record of the case.
- ii. the constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari/Mandamus for directions to respondents no.2 to take legal action against the respondent No.3, who gave false D.O. Letter against the petitioner to implicate him in a false case, as the petitioner declared innocent as per the departmental inquiry conducted by the respondent No.2.
- iii. Any other order, writ or direction which this Hon'ble

Court may deem fit and proper be issued in the interest of justice.

iv. Dispensed with the service advanced notice of this writ petition on the respondents.

v. Grant exemption from filing the certified copies of the Annexure appended herewith.

vi. Award the cost against the respondents.”

The petitioner is a warder in the Jail Administration. He is facing several departmental inquiries since 2011. The petitioner appears to be aggrieved by the actions of the 3rd respondent who has been arrayed by name, i.e., Sukhdev Singh Saggu alleging malafides against him.

This matter was listed on 23.2.2016 and it was adjourned by order to 3.3.2016. On the next date, the matter was listed before me and none appeared for the petitioner. This is the second consecutive time no one appears in this case for the petitioner. The petitioner appears to harbour a personal grudge against the third respondent which can not be permitted to be ventilated in the writ Court without legal foundations. If he has any issue as against the 3rd respondent, he can take up the matter with his superiors in the Jail Administration, Punjab.

Dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

April 7, 2016
Paritosh Kumar