

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 19.02.2016

CWP No.3449 of 2016

Multani Mal Modi College, Patiala

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This petition is disposed of with similar directions as has been issued in CWP No.2887 of 2016 titled 'Khalsa College & others Vs. State of Punjab & others' decided on 12th February, 2016 (Annexure P-10). The relevant order reads as under:

“The prayer in the present writ petition is for release/reimburse the requisite 95% grant-in-aid qua the dues of the staff which has already been paid by the petitioner-Privately Managed Government Aided Colleges.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that a demand has already been raised upon the respondents vide legal notice dated 18.06.2015 (Annexure P-6), wherein the regular grant-in-aid qua the reimbursement paid to the employees has been asked for and no action has been taken for the years 2011-2012.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied, if the said legal notice which was given to respondent No.3 is decided within a fixed time frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to him.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought by the petitioners and the controversy involved, this Court is of the opinion that there is no requirement of calling for any reply on behalf of the respondents.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take into consideration the legal notice which has been issued by the petitioners dated 18.06.2015 and process the same. The authorized persons of the petitioners shall present themselves before th] said respondent alongwith necessary documents from 23.02.2016 to 25.02.2016 and the case will be processed within a period of four weeks, thereafter. In case, the petitioners are found entitled for the said benefit, the same be paid to them within a period of two months, thereafter. In case, any adverse order is to be passed then a reasoned order be passed by the said respondent and be conveyed to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition is disposed of.”

However, the time period specified in the order (Anneuxre P-10) will be read in the present case starting from the date certified copy of the order is made available to the respondents.

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

19.02.2016
Vimal