

CWP No.34 of 2016

[1]

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP No.34 of 2016
Date of Decision:07.01.2016**

APL International

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Ramesh Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Amritsar to decide application (Annexure P-3) before proceeding further with the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Industrial Tribunal, Amritsar had no territorial jurisdiction to try the dispute. Petitioner had moved an application that an issue be framed in this regard before proceeding further with the matter. However, without deciding the application (Annexure P-3), Tribunal has framed issues on 02.12.2015 and the case has been adjourned for recording of the evidence of respondent No.2.

CWP No.34 of 2016

[2]

Since, in the present case, the prayer of the petitioner is that the application (Annexure P-3) be decided by the Tribunal before proceeding further with the case, it would be just and expedient to dispose of the petition without issuing notice to the respondents.

Accordingly this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Tribunal to dispose of the application moved by the petitioner (Annexure P-3), expeditiously in accordance with law, before proceeding to record the evidence of the parties.

January 07, 2016
kapil

(SABINA)
JUDGE