

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP No. 6420 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision:-02.02.2016

Manpreet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. P.S Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the Committee constituted by the Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana in pursuance to the order dated 04.10.2008, passed by this Court in ***CWP No. 4886 of 2003***, titled as ***Court on its own motion Vs. State of Punjab & another*** for monitoring removal of the encroachments from the area within the jurisdiction of the Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana is not acting fairly and in impartial manner. The grievance is that the petitioner has litigation pending with respondents No. 4 and 5, who have been nominated as members of the Committee by the Deputy Commissioner and the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, as a result of which they being prejudiced are not acting fairly.

It is not in dispute that there is no private litigation pending between the petitioner and the private respondents, who

have been nominated as members of the Committee. In fact they had filed a contempt petition in this Court against the petitioner alleging violation of the judgment of this Court, in terms of the liberty granted by this Court.

In view of aforesaid factual matrix, I do not find any reason to hold that the private respondents will be prejudiced against the petitioner merely because they have been nominated by the Committee.

The writ petition stands dismissed.

02.02.2016

PA

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**