

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5395 of 2014
Date of Decision : 23.02.2016

Labh Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. N.S. Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 13.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that scheme has been published and authorities have already proceeded in accordance with law. Learned counsel has produced order dated 01.01.2016 passed by Divisional Canal Officer in this regard. Same is taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

February 23, 2016.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL)
JUDGE