

To be checked
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3290 of 2016

Date of decision:18.2.2016

Manjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. LS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

In case, there is a policy granting extension to Home Guards, the petitioner would then remain at liberty to approach the authorities for redressal of the grievance. The Punjab Civil Services (First Amendment) Rules, Volume-I, Part-I, 2012 are applicable to Government servants “stricto sensu” whether Home Guards qualify as Government servants or not is upto the Punjab Government to decide and not for this Court to make any declaration of law on the subject matter. The petitioner is free to submit a representation before the authorities for examining the issue.

Dismissed.

18.2.2016
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE