

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CM-7740-41-CWP-2016 in/and

CWP No.5315 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.09.2016

Randhir Singh & Ors.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes / No |
| 4. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes / No |
| 5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes / No |

Present: Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, DAG Haryana

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG Haryana

Mr. Rajneesh Hooda, Advocate for HSIIDC

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner points out that the notifications issued under Section 4&6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 which were under challenge in this writ petition have since been admittedly withdrawn by the State Government and a statement to this effect has already been made on behalf of the official respondents in **CWP No.20010 of 2015 (Anuj & Ors. vs. State of Haryana & Ors.)** decided on 10.05.2016 annexed as Annexure A1 with the Civil Misc. Applications wherein prayer has been made to dispose of the writ petition in terms of the cited decision.

(2) The applications are accordingly allowed and the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous in terms of the order passed in **Anuj & Ors.** case (supra).

(3) Ordered accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

21.09.2016
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge