

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3220 of 2016
Date of Decision: February 17, 2016

Pardeep Kumar and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Yogesh Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have questioned the election of respondent Nos.6 as Sarpanch of village Udana, Tehsil Indri, District Karnal.

It is not in dispute that one of the grounds to challenge the election, namely, unauthorized possession on the land reserved for common purposes, has already been taken in an election petition filed by one Dilawar Singh before the Election Tribunal. Let the said election petition be decided expeditiously and in accordance with law.

As regard to the second ground taken in the writ petition, namely, registration of a criminal case against the

newly elected Sarpanch under Section 307 IPC etc. which has been registered on 03.02.2016, i.e., after the election was held, needless to say that the law would take its own course.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

February 17, 2016
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE