

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.394 of 2015
Date of decision:10.3.2016**

Sudershan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

As per reply, full pension has been paid. No one appears for the petitioner. No further adjudication is required. The petition has been rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition in case any dispute remains pending or unresolved.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

10.3.2016
monika