

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 101

CWP-6058-2013

Decided on : 05.04.2016

Rajender Singh and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

To be referred to Reporters or not?

Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

On 15.07.2013, learned counsel for the petitioners had prayed for time to produce on record the initial letter of appointment of the petitioners to demonstrate whether their appointment was through regular channel or adhoc/daily wages basis, and the case was adjourned to 13.08.2013. On 13.08.2013, as the needful had not been done by learned counsel for the petitioners, the case was adjourned sine-die.

Today, the matter has been listed after information to counsel for the petitioners. In spite of the case having been called thrice over, no one appears on behalf of the petitioners. Even, the documents for which the adjournment was sought by learned counsel for the petitioners on

15.07.2013, have not been placed on record even after nearly 3 years.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

05.04.2016
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE