

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

FAO No. 6390 of 2011(O&M)
Date of decision :29.11.2016

Umardeen and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Munesh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.R.S.Hooda Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. D.P.Gupta, Advocate
for respondent no.3.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

As per the report of office, notice to respondent no.1 has been received back unserved with the report for want of street and house number. Notice issued to respondent no.2 not received back either served or unserved. In the order dated 29.02.2016, it was made clear that if the steps are not taken to serve respondents no.1 and 2, the appeal shall be summarily dismissed. Appellant has not taken the proper steps to serve respondent no.1 as the street/house number of respondent no.1 was not supplied.

Thus, the present appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution. The appellants shall be at liberty to move the application for revival of the present appeal along with the complete address of respondents no.1 and 2 in the shape of the affidavit of the appellants.

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

29.11.2016

S.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No