

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No. 2994 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 20.10.2016

Jarnail Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel seeks time to file reply, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner states that representation of the petitioner is still pending and no action has been taken thereupon so far. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 5.1.2016 (Annexure P-8).

Keeping in view the limited prayer, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 5.1.2016 (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case the petitioner is found to be entitled for the relief claimed in the representation, the same be granted to him within a period of two months thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 20, 2016
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No