

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.2910 of 2016
Date of Decision: February 12, 2016

SeemaPetitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Vivek Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner questions the eligibility of respondent No.6 for the office of Sarpanch of village Garhi Jhanjhara, District Sonapat. The election has already been held on 17.01.2016.

Since there is a statutory remedy of election petition available to the petitioner for which the Civil Court is notified as Election Tribunal, we decline to entertain this writ petition and relegate the petitioner to the above-stated alternative remedy. However, taking into consideration the totality of circumstances, it is directed that if any election petition is filed, the Election Tribunal shall make an endeavour

to decide the same within a period of six months from the date of its filing. The petitioner shall be at liberty to take up all the pleas in the election petition.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

February 12, 2016
mohinder

[RAJ MOHAN SINGH]
JUDGE