

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2852 of 2016

Date of decision : 12.02.2016

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that he joined his service as Clerk in Haryana Roadways Kaithal on compassionate appointment as father of the petitioner expired on 22.09.1978 during the service and the petitioner has now completed 29 years of service with honesty and sincerity. Now the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 27.10.2015 to the department for retirement due to family circumstances, as wife of the petitioner is suffering from medical problem. Thereafter, a legal notice dated 15.12.2015 has also been submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states, that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied, if directions are issued to respondents

to decide the representation/legal notice, referred to above.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 27.10.2015 (P-2) legal notice dated 15.12.2015 (P-3) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously after going through the contents of the representation/legal notice, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

12.02.2016
G Arora