

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP No.2843-CAT of 2016
Date of Decision:-3.3.2016.

Gurmeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Rohit Seth, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that after filing of this writ petition, he has come to know that there were 24 more employees who were charge-sheeted on the same set of allegations, out of whom only 3 (including the petitioner) have been dismissed from service and the remaining 21 employees have been awarded lesser punishments. He further submits that since the petitioner alone is amongst the dismissed employees who voluntarily deposited the amount in default, he wants to move a review application before the Tribunal on the ground of discrimination in the matter of award of the punishment.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforementioned.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 3, 2016.

sandeep sethi