

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 4820 of 2014
Date of Decision : 23.09.2016

RENU KAPOOR & OTHERS

..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

..Respondents

CWP No. 9176 of 2014

BANSI LAL KATYAL & OTHERS

..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, AAG, Haryana1.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of above two writ petitions bearing *CWP No.4820 of 2014* and *CWP No.9176 of 2014* arising out of the same facts.

The petitioner in these petitions had claimed the fixation of family pension as per revised pay-scales w.e.f. 01.01.1996.

It comes out that during the pendency of the present writ petitions, pension, as per revised pay-scales w.e.f. 01.01.1996, has been granted to the petitioners alongwith arrears. Now, the only question arising for consideration is of non-payment of interest on the delayed payment.

After hearing both the parties and going through the judgements of this Court in *CWP No. 5520 of 2003* titled as *S.P. Sood Vs. State of Haryana* and others decided on 02.02.2009 (*Annexure P-10*) and *CWP No. 4977 of 2010* and two other connected cases decided on 17.03.2011 (*Annexure P-11*), I am of the view that the petitioners are entitled to interest @ 8 % per annum on the delayed payment of arrears of revised pension.

CWP No. 4820 of 2014 and
CWP No. 9176 of 2014

Accordingly, both the present writ petitions are allowed to the extent that respondent shall pay 8% interest on the arrears of the revised pension to the petitioners, from the date it became payable i.e. 01.03.2002 till the actual payment is made.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 23, 2016
Suresh Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned *Yes* / *No*

Whether Reportable *Yes* / *No*