

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

237

CWP No.3577 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.02.2016

Santokh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.M Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court against the order rejecting the application filed by him for renewal of Arms Licence.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the State submitted that in terms of Section 18 of the Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner has effective alternative remedy of appeal before the next higher authority from competent authority rejecting the application for renewal of licence. He further submitted that in case the petitioner prefers appeal within four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and decided on merit and will not be dismissed only on account of delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of fair stand taken by learned counsel for the State, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail of alternative remedy.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

01.02.2016

PA