

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No. 354 of 2015

Date of Decision:- 24.10.2016

Raj Kumar and others

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, D. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Jasbir Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners in the present petition is that initially they were appointed as Sweepers but they were assigned duties of Drivers. Similarly, services of one Yash Pal, who was also appointed as Sweeper and was working as Driver, have been regularized.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that earlier there were no rules, but during pendency of this petition, Rules have been enacted and petitioners are entitled for salary/regularization on the post of Driver. The case of the petitioners can be considered in accordance with the Rules enacted subsequently.

In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No. 2 to consider the case of the petitioners in accordance with Rules enacted subsequently and pass necessary order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

In case, the petitioners are found entitled for the relief sought in the petition, the same be granted to them within a period of two months thereafter. In case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

October 24, 2016
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No