

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 3528 of 2015
Date of Decision: 14.12.2016**

Saurabh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Suryakant Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, SR. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The allegation levelled by the petitioner is that respondent No.3-Municipal Committee is not removing illegal encroachments on the road.

On the other hand, learned counsel respondent No.3-Municipal Committee submits that after receiving notice of the instant writ petition, illegal encroachments, wherever they were found, have been removed.

Civil Writ Petition No. 3528 of 2015

However, learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.3, contending that encroachments are still continuing.

Be that as it may, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra, to look into the matter, get an effective survey of the market conducted where shop of the petitioner is also located and ensure removal of encroachments. Let this exercise be carried out and completed by the Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra, at an early date and in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

14.12.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No