

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**ITA No. 39 of 2010 (O&M)
Decided on : 09.02.2016.**

Commissioner of Income Tax, Bathinda

... Appellant

Versus

Madan Lal

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG**

PRESENT: Mr. C.S.Singh, Advocate for
Mr. G.S.Hooda, Advocate
for the appellant.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue candidly admitted that the tax effect involved in the present case is below ₹ 20 lacs, and submitted that in view of the circular No.21/2015, dated 10.12.2015 issued by the C.B.D.T., New Delhi, the appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn. However, he prayed that liberty be granted to the revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal in case something survives therein.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is, however, clarified that withdrawal of the appeal by the revenue shall not be taken to be affirmation of order of the Tribunal on merits. Further, the legal issue as claimed by the revenue is being left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**

February 09, 2016

smriti