

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4617 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2016

Prem Sagar Garg

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 2. Whether reportable? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 4. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | <i>Yes / No</i> |

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, DAG Haryana

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner has filed this petition claiming that acquisition of his land/property vide notifications dated 21.08.2001 and 20.07.2004 issued under the Punjab Town Improvement Act, 1922 read with the provisions of Land Acquisition Act, 1894 has lapsed as no award has been passed within the stipulated period.

(2) In the entire writ petition, the alleged property of the petitioner which is claimed to be under acquisition has not been described or disclosed. No effective direction thus can be issued. The writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition with better particulars on the same cause of action.

(3) With liberty aforementioned, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

14.12.2016
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge