

143

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27213 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 23.12.2016

Jagmohan Lal and others Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the retiral benefits of the petitioners were released with delay. The prayer is for interest on the delayed payments of gratuity. The petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 12.10.2016 (Annexure-P-3) upon respondents. The short prayer at this stage is that respondent No. 2 may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 12.10.2016 (Annexure-P-3).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the legal notice dated 12.10.2016 (Annexure-P-3) within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if it is found that there was delay in releasing the payment of gratuity, then the interest be paid in accordance with Government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.12.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No