

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 3245 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.08.2016

GURMEET SINGH

... Petitioner

VS.

***GREATER MOHALI AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND
OTHERS***

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate,
for the respondents.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since, all the retiral benefits have been released to him, therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous. He further prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

In view of the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn as having been rendered infructuous.

August 4, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

✓

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

✓