

C.W.P No. 321 of 2015

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. C.W.P No. 321 of 2015

Bihari LalPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

2. C.W.P No. 25646 of 2015

Harinderjit Singh Bajwa and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

DATE OF DECISION:04.10.2016

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No. 321 of 2015.

None for the petitioners in CWP No.25646 of 2015.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3 in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015.

Mr. Amandeep S. Manaise, Advocate
for respondent No. 4 in CWP No. 25646 of 2015.

Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 6,7,14,16,24 and 28.

Ms. Natasha Munjal, Advocate for
Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for respondent No.15 in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015.

Mr. Balbir Jaswal, Advocate
for respondents No.18 and 21 in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015 and
for respondents No.6,15,39 and 42 in C.W.P. No. 25646 of
2015.

Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate for
respondents No.4,5,23 and 26.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.22 in C.W.P. No. 25646 of 2015.

Mr. Ravish Bansal, Advocate
for respondents No.10,11,17 and 29
in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015.

Mr. Harnaik Singh, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Thiara, Advocate for
respondent No.22 in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015 and
for respondent No.43 in C.W.P. No. 25656 of 2015.

Ms. Charu Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.33.

Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate
for respondent No.34 in C.W.P. No. 25646 of 2015 and
for respondents No. 13 in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015.

Ms. Natasha Munjal, Advocate for
Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for respondent No.15.

Mr. Harparteek Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents No.25 to 28 in C.W.P. No. 321 of 2015 and
for respondents No.46 to 49 in C.W.P. No. 25646 of 2015.

Ms. Anu Chatrath Kapoor, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for respondents No. 37, 39 and 40.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

In view of order dated 21.9.2016, which has been challenged in
C.W.P. Nos. 20840 and 20924 of 2016, the present petitions have become
infructuous and the same are dismissed as such.

October 04, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No