

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-:-

C.W.P No. 4424 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision: February 24, 2016.

Parminder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present:- Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Baidwan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Before this Court the petitioner has impugned the order dated 23.10.2012 passed by Regional Passport Officer, Chandigarh, vide which his passport was impounded on account of pendency of a criminal case registered vide FIR No. 126, dated 06.10.2011, in which non-bailable warrants have been issued against the petitioner. The petitioner preferred appeal against the order impounding his passport, where he was represented by his counsel. The appeal was also dismissed on account of pendency of criminal case in the matrimonial case.

The grievance is that reasons have not been assigned in the impugned order, however the fact remains that pendency of criminal case is mentioned in the impugned order while impounding the passport in which non-bailable warrants have been issued against the petitioner. The petitioner is not willing to come to India, even if his passport is restored.

Dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

February 24, 2016

tripti