

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 27058 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 23.12.2016

Ramel Singh and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation
Limited and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years. Their retiral benefits were released with delay. The prayer is for grant of interest on the delayed payments. It is further stated that the petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 1.11.2016 (Annexure-P-5) upon respondents. The limited prayer at this stage is that respondent No. 3 may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 1.11.2016 (Annexure-P-5).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the legal notice dated 1.11.2016 (Annexure-P-5) within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.12.2016

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No