

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.M-54 of 2010(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 6 , 2016.

Kamaljit Singh

..... APPELLANT (s)

Versus

Ravinder Kaur

..... RESPONDENT (s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reports or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.

The appeal was filed by Kamalit Singh challenging order dated 18.12.2009 passed by the Additional District Judge (Adhoc), Fast Track Court, Hoshiarpur dismissing his petition for grant of a decree of divorce.

Neither the appellant nor his counsel are present.

Counsel for the respondent – wife states that he has been instructed by the respondent to state that Kamaljit Singh has passed away on

09.02.2015 and, therefore, the appeal has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of accordingly.

We have heard learned counsel for the respondent and as we find no reason to doubt the correctness of statement made by counsel for the appellant and a photostat copy of the death certificate that has been placed on record, dismiss the appeal as infructuous with liberty to seek revival, in case, the statement made by counsel for the respondent, is incorrect.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

January 6 , 2016.
'om'