

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26940 of 2016

Date of decision:22.12.2016

Manoj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms.Sonia G.Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The limitation to question the recording of adverse remarks for the period w.e.f. 18.4.2011 to 31.3.2012 fixed in the Executive Instructions dated 14.8.1987 (Annexure P-7) expired about 4 years ago. The very purpose of creating a right in an employee to appeal against recording of adverse remarks will be lost by the passage of time. The Reviewing Officers and the Accepting Authorities after passage of time would not be in a position to review such remarks and therefore, delay is almost fatal in such a case.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

22.12.2016

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No