

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4174 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.04.2016

Vijay Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Bhagender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate, for respondent No.5.
Mr. M.K. Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.8.
Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate, for respondents No.9 to 12.

PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wants to withdraw the present petition, however, it may be clarified that this is only for the limited purpose of mutation and ultimate rights shall be determined in appropriate proceedings because mutation is a summary proceeding. Mutation does not confer any title and is only for the purpose of updating the revenue record.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid clarification.

**(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge**

**April 11, 2016
R.S.**