

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.26840 of 2016
Date of decision: 22.12.2016**

M/s J.P. Singla Engineers & Contractors, Panchkula

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. A.K. Sanwal, Advocate for
Mr. T.P.S. Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, it finally approached the respondent authorities by way of self-contained representation dated 26.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a reasonable time by passing an appropriate order thereon.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, Executive Engineer, Canal Lining

Division, Canal Colony, Ferozepur-respondent No.3 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance raised by the petitioner vide its representation dated 26.08.2016 (Annexure P-1) and decide the same, keeping in view the observations made by this Court in its order dated 08.07.2016 passed in CWP No.13043 of 2016 (Prem Kumar, Govt. Contractor Vs. State of Punjab and others) at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

It is further directed that if after due consideration of the matter, respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled for the amount being sought to be released, the same shall be released in favour of the petitioner, without any further loss of time.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

22.12.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No