

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26834-2016

Date of decision: 22.12.2016

Narinder Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Vikrant Hooda, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia* seeking a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide the appeal Annexure P-5 (wrongly mentioned as Annexure P-6) filed by the petitioners against the order dated 09.06.2010 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No. 3.

2. After arguing for some time, when the Bench was not agreeing with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the instant petition, with liberty to the petitioners to pursue their remedies before the Appellate Authority, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

December 22, 2016
rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No