

121 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.26775 of 2016
Date of Decision: 22.12.2016**

Sanjay Kumar and others

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate for
 Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are at present serving as Masters under the Haryana State Education Department, have raised a claim for grant of step-up/higher pay so as to be treated at par with their junior counterparts, who were otherwise appointed on or after 01.01.2006.

It is the contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioners that the claim of the petitioners would be covered in the light of judgment dated **15.05.2013** (Annexure P-7), passed by this Court in ***CWP No.11254 of 2010*** titled as “***Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others***”.

Without making any observation on merits and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the present petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and examine the claim of the petitioners in the light of a legal notice dated 17.08.2016 (Annexure P-8) i.e. Stated to have already been served.

A final decision be taken thereupon by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 22, 2016

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No