

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.26743 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 22, 2016**

Kushal Dutt Kaushal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Warehousing Corporation

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that before the retirement of the petitioner, an inquiry was conducted, in which while deciding the same, the following punishment order was passed:

“--- Therefore, I have reached at the conclusion that S/Shri Nirmal Singh WM, and Kushal Dutt Kaushal GA are equally responsible for the loss of Rs.49,42,561/- caused to the Corporation on account of un-milled paddy crop year 2010/11 with M/S Kiran Rice Mill Machhiwara and for compensating this loss by them the matter is kept pending till the decision in the arbitration case filed against the miller.”

It is stated that now, the arbitration case has been decided vide order dated 13.08.2014 and corrected on 19.11.2014 and the appeal against the said order has been decided vide order dated 09.12.2015 but the final order in the departmental inquiry is not being passed.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the

respondents to pass the final order in the inquiry conducted against the petitioner and also dispose of the legal notice of the petitioner dated 05.10.2016 (Annexure P-10) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 22, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No