

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.26739 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 22, 2016**

Sukhdev Singla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravish Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was suspended vide order dated 28.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) just three days before his retirement. Now, a charge-sheet dated 22.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) has been issued to him levelling various allegations

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was suspended and charge-sheeted on false allegations as on the day of inspection by the DPI, he was on leave. It is further contended that after his retirement on 31.07.2016, nothing has been paid to him.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, this Court does not find any ground to interfere in the charge-sheet issued to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with a relief that the respondents may be directed to dispose of the inquiry in a time bound manner and further that the retiral benefits, except those, which can be

legally withheld, the remaining will be released.

In view of the matter, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage, with a direction to the respondents to expedite the inquiry and conclude the same maximum within six months from today. A further direction is issued that the only those retiral benefits will be withheld, which can be legally withheld as per service rules. Other benefits will be released.

Needless to say that the interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits shall also be released, as per Government instructions.

The petitioner is also at liberty to avail all the available pleas during the course of inquiry.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 22, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No