

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 26715 of 2016
Date of Decision: 22.12.2016**

Jaipal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr.Jagjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed by the respondent authorities, he finally approached respondent No.2 by way of legal notice dated 19.12.2015 (Annexure P-5), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case the Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon-respondent No. 2 is directed to consider and decide his legal notice, within a reasonable time.

Civil Writ Petition No. 26715 of 2016

2

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon-respondent No. 2 to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, raised vide his legal notice dated 19.12.2015 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same, at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

22.12.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No