

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2811 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 26.07.2016.

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of Patiala and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate for respondents.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

Both the counsel for the petitioner as well as respondents submit that petition do not survive for consideration in view of the latest development that the petitioner has been promoted.

In view of the above statement made by both the counsel for the parties, present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

July 26, 2016.
kanchan

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE