

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26688 of 2016

Date of decision: 21.12.2016

Harpal Singh & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Midha, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Mr. Pankaj Midha, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that this matter is covered by the decisions of this Court, the text of which orders are placed at Annex. P-7 to P-13. These are decisions on the question of stepping up of the petitioners' pay at par with the juniors, of rights which have been explained in CWP No.11254 of 2010 (Neelam Rani v. The State of Haryana & ors.) decided on May 15, 2013. The petitioners are in service. They have served a legal notice, copy of which is at Annex P-24, through their counsel on the 3rd respondent, but he has not taken any decision thereon so far. Mr. Midha submits that in the circumstances, this Court may consider issuing a direction to the 3rd respondent to consider passing a speaking order on the claims. The request is worthy of acceptance as it is found genuine in its demand for justice.

Therefore, a direction is issued to the 3rd respondent to decide the legal notice [Annex P-24] after hearing the petitioners in a representative capacity of no more than three of them from amongst the array of parties, as of whom are best acquainted with the facts of the case and are duly authorized by the rest to represent them at the hearing before

the officer. It is expected that the 3rd respondent will faithfully implement the judgments of this Court relied upon by the petitioners which ex facie demonstrate a strong prima facie case in their favour since they are similarly situated to those who have already been granted the relief and if they are similarly situated, the 3rd respondent would have no option but to implement and carry out the mandate of the judgments in conformity with equal opportunity mandates in Article 14 of the Constitution of India. Let the decision be taken within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above observations and directions, this petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

21.12.2016
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No