

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26633-2016

DATE OF DECISION:22.12.2016

MADAN LAL AND ANR.

... Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are working as Assistants, have sought a direction to the respondents to grant them promotion w.e.f. 22.05.1996 with all consequential benefits.

It is the case of the petitioners that they were promoted w.e.f. 22.05.1996 but the consequential benefits have not been granted by the respondents. The Child Development Project Officer has also recommended the case of petitioner No.1 on 14.08.2012 to the Programme Officer, ICDS Cell, Kurukshetra (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel has drawn my attention to the representation sent to respondent No.2 in this regard on 27.05.2016 which has failed to evoke any response. Learned counsel further states that he shall be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners in a time bound manner.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G.,

Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the

petitioners is directed to furnish three sets of paper book to the learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 22, 2016

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No