

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

115

Civil Writ Petition No.26600 of 2016
Date of decision: December 21, 2016

Union of India and others

....Petitioners

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to order dated 04.09.2014 (**Annexure P-4**) vide which the original application filed by respondent No.2 has been allowed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh.

2. After arguing for sometime and when the Bench was not agreeing with the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners and had expressed its view as to why cost should not be imposed upon the petitioners, learned counsel submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2 for information.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

December 21, 2016

sonia gugrani

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No