

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26581 of 2016

Date of decision: 21.12.2016

Raj Kumar & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in the present case is squarely covered by the judgments rendered by this Court in *Neelam Rani d/o Raj Roop vs. The State of Haryana and others*, CWP No.11254 of 2010 decided on 15.05.2013 (Annexure P-9), *Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar and others vs. State of Haryana and others*, CWP No.4739 of 2011 decided on 11.07.2016 (Annexure P-10) and *Anil and others vs. State of Haryana and another*, CWP No.5376 of 2011 decided on 01.02.2016 (Annexure P-11). Learned counsel also submits that the petitioners have served a legal notice (Annexure P-12) upon the respondents on 2.6.2015 but no action has been taken so far. The petitioners would be satisfied in case, directions are issued by this Court to consider legal notice dated 2.6.2015 (Annexure P-12).

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider legal notice dated 2.6.2015 (Annexure P-12) and take necessary action in accordance with law as well as the judgments as mentioned above, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of

certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

21.12.2016
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>