

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 3820 of 2014

Date of Decision : 23.09.2016

SUMITRA YADAV

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, AAG, Haryana.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Brief facts of this case are that the petitioner had retired on 30.11.1994 from the post of the Joint Director, Animal Husbandry, Haryana. The claim of the petitioner is that he is entitled to pension in the revised pay-scales of ₹13,500 - ₹17,250 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and his family pension is to be accordingly revised w.e.f. 11.05.1998 and the order (*Annexures P-14 and P-15*) dated 06.01.2011 and 30.12.2011 respectively are illegal.

The matter as to whether the retirees prior to 01.01.1996 are entitled to pension as per revised pay-scales has already been examined by this Court in *CWP No. 5520 of 2003* titled as *S.P. Sood Vs. State of Haryana* and others decided on 02.02.2009 (*Annexure P-9*) and *CWP No. 4977 of 2010* and two other connected cases decided on 17.03.2011 (*Annexure P-10*).

The present case is squarely covered under these two judgments mentioned above. Therefore, the petitioner is held entitled to pension as per revised pay-scales of ₹13,500 - ₹17,250 w.e.f. 01.01.1996. The family pension

CWP No. 3820 of 2014

of the petitioner is accordingly ordered to be revised and the arrears alongwith interest @ 8% per annum w.e.f. 01.03.2002 till actual payment is made.

In view of the above the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 23, 2016
Suresh Kumar

	✓		
<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
			✓
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>