

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.26364 of 2016

Date of Decision: 19.12.2016

Neeraj Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the same controversy was there in ***CWP No.17405 of 2016*** titled as ***Yadwinder Singh and another vs State of Punjab and others*** decided on ***10.11.2016*** as well as ***CWP No.24214 of 2016*** titled as ***Ranjit Kaur and others vs State of Punjab and others*** decided on ***23.11.2016***.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the same order is passed in this case as has been passed in abovesaid cases.

The case of the petitioner is squarely covered by decision in ***CWP No.25794 of 2015*** titled as ***Ekta Sharma and others vs State of Punjab and others*** decided on ***18.05.2016***.

Without issuing notice to other party and by considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner as the issue involved in the present petition has already been decided in bunch of petitions like

Ekta Sharma's case (Supra), the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the present petitioner in view of *Ekta Sharma's* case supra.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

19.12.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No