

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26344 of 2016
Date of decision: 19.12.2016

Sandeep and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Varun Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that statutory appeal (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioners is pending consideration before respondent No.2 for a period of more than two and half years. He further submits that pendency of the statutory appeal is causing grave and manifest injustice to the petitioners. He also submits that petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.2-appellate authority is directed to consider and decide the statutory appeal of the petitioners within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to

District Collector, Faridabad-respondent No.2 to look into the matter, consider the statutory appeal (Annexure P-1) of the petitioners, which is pending consideration before him for the last more than two and half years and decide the same at an early date by passing an appropriate order, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

19.12.2016
vishnu

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No