

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.26290 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 19, 2016**

Prem Nath

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corp. Ltd. and another **..... Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has challenged the order dated 30.07.2013 (Annexure P-3), vide which the punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect was imposed upon the petitioner.

It comes out that the order was passed more than three years back. It was not challenged within the period of limitation.

It being so, the present petition is badly barred by delay and latches and is dismissed as such.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 19, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No