

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.26245 of 2016.

Date of Decision: December 19, 2016

Gurmeet Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

versus

The Land Acquisition Collector, Panchkula.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Bhag Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The land of predecessor-in-interest of the petitioners was acquired by State of Haryana vide Award No.6 dated 11.09.2003. The petitioners' predecessor did not file any reference but subsequently when compensation was enhanced in the Reference Cases filed by other land-owners, the petitioners' predecessor filed petition under Section 28- A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, statedly on 09.04.2011. The grievance in the instant writ petition is that more than five years have passed but their petition under Section 28-A of 1894 Act has not been decided so far. Meanwhile, the Regular First Appeals have also been decided by this Court.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and considering the nature of relief sought by them, we dispose of this writ petition without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the respondent/Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate, Panchkula to decide

the pending petition under Section 28-A of the 1894 Act, if any, in

accordance with law at the earliest but not later than four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 19, 2016
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No