

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26224 of 2016

Date of decision: 19.12.2016

Roop Chand & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. SS Chhokar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Employer can decide work-load of an Elementary School Headmaster because the Government pays the salary for discharging duties. This is a pure executive function whether work-load is decreased or increased with which the Court has no business to intervene. The petitioners cannot be viewed as aggrieved persons. They are teachers. They complain that their work-load has been increased for teaching because of the stress of administrative work involved as Headmasters. No interference is called for whatsoever in this petition only because work-load has been defined in the impugned letter to be at par with Trained Graduate Teachers/Masters. If Headmasters have been brought at par with TGT/Masters, then they will have to take classes in addition to their administrative work, which is not an issue which can be brought for debate in writ jurisdiction.

No merit. Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

19.12.2016

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No