

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.27088 of 2015

Date of decision: May 03, 2016.

Yaman Singla and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

BSNL and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Munish Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Shri Madan Mohan, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

The petitioners firstly made a representation on 23rd September, 2015 before the departmental authorities for the protection of increments etc. Without affording reasonable time to the authorities to consider that representation, they rushed to the Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) which dismissed the Original Application as premature vide order dated 1st December, 2015. The Tribunal has observed that the petitioners ought to have waited at least for six months to see the outcome of the representation.

Since the period of six months has now passed, we dispose of this writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh Original Application before the Tribunal, which shall decide the same in accordance with law.

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

May 03, 2016.
kadyan